

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/829,594 | 04/22/2004 | Ken-Jen Yu | 3744-043984 | 6879 | |
| Webb Ziesenheim Logsdon Orkin & Hanson, P.C. 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818 | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HOPKINS, CHRISTINE D | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 0 , | | | 3735 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| 10/829,594 | YU, KEN-JEN | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS | 3735 | | | |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the Period for Reply | cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALING DATE OF THE Extensions of 3T CFR 1.336µ. In now after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will be set to the control of the set of of the | IIS COMMUNICATION. unt, however, may a repty be timely filed Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the appl Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this coreamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mmunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 July 2008. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is n | on-final. | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except | for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qu | ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from con | nsideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election re | equirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) | objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) b | e held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is require | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. No | ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und a) All b) Some * c) None of: | der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee | n received. | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rul- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certi- | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certi- | ned copies not received. | | | |
| | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | |

| U.S. Patent and | Trademark Office |
|-----------------|------------------|
| PTOL-326 (| Rev. 08-06) |

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

6) Other: ___

Application/Control Number: 10/829,594 Page 2

Art Unit: 3735

DETAILED ACTION

 This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed 11 July 2008. Claims 1-17 are now pending. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to claims 1, 7 and 9-17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reinbolt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,256,123). Reinbolt et al. (hereinafter Reinbolt) disclose an apparatus comprising a hollow longitudinally extending member for placement within the vagina. Regarding claims 1-3, 7-9, 11 and 13, Reinbolt teaches a hollow columnar vaginal member comprising a main body 120, a minor part 100 and a screw or fastener "jointing structure" 140 formed between said main body and said minor part for connection between the two (Figs. 2 and 3). The main and minor parts are also interpreted as the "plural petal structures" in accordance with claim 9 because there is no special technical definition associated with this particular structure in the specification, hence, the broadest reasonable interpretation of the term "petal" is given. Many different shapes and types of petals exist, therefore a possibility of many different interpretations also exist. With respect to claims 4, 6, 10 and 14, the main body and

Application/Control Number: 10/829,594

Art Unit: 3735

minor part are both porous structures (Fig. 3 and col. 4, lines 38-43). Regarding claim 5, the minor part has an arc-shaped surface (Fig. 2).

With respect to claim 12, the device is considered to be a "one-end opened hollow structure" as depicted in Fig. 3. Regarding claims 15-17, the plural structures are identical structures (Fig. 3), yet are also different as evidenced by Fig. 2.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 11 July 2008 with respect to the rejection of claims 1-8, 11 and 13-17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-8, 11 and 13-17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 11 July 2008 with respect to the rejection of claims 117 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) citing Reinbolt et al. ('123) have been fully considered and are not persuasive. Applicant contends that the vaginal mold taught by Reinbolt is not detachable in the vagina. However, this argument is not persuasive. As recognized by Applicant, the main body 120 and minor part 110 comprising the hollow longitudinally extending member (vaginal mold) is constructed as a detachable apparatus, and thus is capable of being detachable in the vagina. The screw or fastener 140 imparts this detachable feature to the apparatus. Moreover, it is noted that it is unclear, based on the claim language, if the vaginal mold of claim 1 is being detached from another structure, or if components of the vaginal mold are detachable from each other. In view

Application/Control Number: 10/829,594

Art Unit: 3735

of the foregoing, the rejection of claims 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) citing Reinbolt et al. ('123) has been maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS whose telephone number is (571)272-9058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7 a.m.-3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

Application/Control Number: 10/829,594

Art Unit: 3735

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. D. H./ Christine D Hopkins Examiner Art Unit 3735 /Charles A. Marmor, II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3735